

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,361	23,361 07/18/2003		Arthur T. Nemirow	BII 0113 PUS	5050	
22045	7590	10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
	S KUSHM		VU, JIMMY T			
1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTH	SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			2821		
				DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/623,361	NEMIROW ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jimmy T. Vu	2821						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stautory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	,							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ily 2005.							
·— ·	action is non-final.							
, 								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	·							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Despite applicant's disagreement, the examiner decides to provide new rejection as below. Other references have been incorporated to strengthen the examiner's position with respect to the fluorescent lamp electronic ballast having the combination of features including the power factor correction flyback circuit and the inverter ballast circuit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phadke (U.S. Patent number 6,788,557 B2) in view of Gu (U.S. Patent number 5,907,223).

Regarding claim 1, Gu discloses a fluorescent lamp electronic ballast comprising: a power factor correction flyback circuit (200) composed of a rectifier (220) connected to a DC to DC flyback converter (265), the flyback converter (265) including

Art Unit: 2821

a flyback transformer (228) connected to a diode/capacitor combination (202, 232, 234), the flyback converter including a switch (212) used to switch the flyback transformer during operation to produce a flyback waveform that is rectified by the diode (232) and results in a DC output at the capacitor (234) (Fig. 3A-3B; col. 5, lines 35-67; col. 6, lines 10-45); and

Phadke does not teach an inverter ballast circuit receiving the DC output and converting the DC output to an AC signal for operating the fluorescent lamp. However, as evidenced by Gu, providing the inverter ballast circuit (30) (Figs. 1 and 2, col. 1, lines 38-53) receiving the DC output and converting the DC output to an AC signal is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the device of Phadke with the inverter ballast circuit as taught by Gu in order to deliver the high frequency AC power to the lamp from the DC output voltage of the flyback converter for operating the light system.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Phadke and Gu discloses the fluorescent lamp electronic ballast wherein the rectifier receives an AC input having a varying frequency and the rectifier has a sufficiently low input capacitance such that the rectifier output substantially takes the form of a rectified AC wave (Figs. 3A-3B of Phadke and Figs. 1-2 of Gu).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Phadke and Gu discloses the fluorescent lamp electronic ballast wherein the flyback converter is configured to operate in a transition mode (Figs. 3A-3B of Phadke).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,361

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Phadke and Gu discloses the fluorescent

lamp electronic ballast wherein the flyback converter includes a control loop (B)

configured to monitor the flyback transformer and switch the flyback transformer

asynchronously as needed to maintain energy balance (Figs. 1-2 of Gu).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Phadke and Gu discloses the fluorescent

lamp electronic ballast wherein the control loop is connected to the DC output (DC)

(Figs. 1-2 of Gu).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-11 are allowed.

None of the prior art teaches the fluorescent lamp electronic ballast wherein the

rectifier receives an AC input having a frequency that varies to frequencies exceeding

300 Hz and has an input capacitance of less than 0.5 microfarads.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jimmy T Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1832. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F: 9 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,361

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Jimmy Vu

October 11, 2005

TUYET VO